



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/889,932	09/17/2001		Hendrikus Jan Kapaan	110191	4418	
25944	7590	08/23/2004		EXAMINER		
OLIFF & B	ERRIDO	GE, PLC	KRAMER, DEVON C			
P.O. BOX 19	9928		•	(
ALEXANDI	RIA, VA	22320	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				3683		

DATE MAILED: 08/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/889,932	KAPAAN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Devon C Kramer	3683	
The MAILING DATE of this communication for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of the period for reply specified above, the maximum statut. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended period f	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re ication. 1ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT I, by statute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>30 <i>June</i> 2004</u> .		
_)⊠ This action is non-final.		
Since this application is in condition fo closed in accordance with the practice		•	٠
Disposition of Claims			
4)	withdrawn from consideration. rejected. cted to.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)∏ accepted or b)∏ objected to b	y the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection	on to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the said of the			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International	ocuments have been received. Ocuments have been received in Aporthe priority documents have been received in Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
l) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO	4) ∐ Interview Su (-948) Paper No(s	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date		ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/889,932

Art Unit: 3683

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1) Claims 7-10 and 33 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 7 line 2, "the bearing" should be -the support bearing--;

Claim 8 line 2, "the outer ring" should be -an outer ring-;

Claim 33 line 11, "the rotor" should be -a rotor--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2) The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3) Claims 1-3, 7, 10-11, 30 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Koeth et al (DE 19807328). Please note that US 6349801 has been used as an English equivalent.

In reference to claims 1 and 33, Koeth et al provides an actuator (figure 4) with a claw piece (10), comprising: a housing accommodating a screw mechanism (36); a drive including a motor (20); the screw mechanism including a nut (42) and a screw (36), the screw rotatably supported relative to the housing, such that upon rotation of the screw relative to the nut a linear movement of one of the nut is obtained (col. 5 lines 21-28); and a rotor (26, 98) of the drive is rotatably supported on the screw which is

Application/Control Number: 09/889,932

Art Unit: 3683

rotatably supported relative to the housing; the rotor being coaxial with respect to the screw.

In reference to claims 2 and 3, Koeth et al teach a support bearing (52, 32) for the screw and wherein the rotor is supported by an auxillary bearing. (see figure 4).

In reference to claim 7, Koeth et al provides an actuator where the outer ring of the bearing supports a rotatable sleeve (96) which is in connection with the rotatable component of the drive.

In reference to claim 10, Koeth et al provides an actuator where the sleeve is connected to the rotor of the motor.

In reference to claim 11, Koeth et al provides an actuator where the nut and the screw are rotatably supported both according to an axis parallel with respect to the linear movement, and according to at least on axis transverse with respect to the linear movement.

In reference to claim 30, the examiner takes official notice that elements of gearing and parts under a high load are conventionally formed by hard turning.

Application/Control Number: 09/889,932 Page 4

Art Unit: 3683

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4) The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5) Claim 29 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koeth Koeth et al (DE 19807328) in view of Airheart (4180146).

Koeth et al lacks the teaching of a bore containing a grease dosing unit.

Airheart teaches the use of a grease dosing unit (94).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have provided the assembly of Koeth et al with a grease dosing unit as taught by Airheart in order to provide a means to keep the rotating parts lubricated. It is well known in the art of gearing to maintain a sufficient amount of grease or oil on the mating surfaces.

6) Claim 31 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koeth et al (DE 19807328) in view of Chareire (5293966).

It is known in the art to produce load bearing parts of high strength material.

Koeth et al is silent to the material used to produce the components of the device.

Chareire teaches the use of producing load bearing parts out of a carbon.

Application/Control Number: 09/889,932 Page 5

Art Unit: 3683

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have provided the load bearing parts of Koeth et al with carbon material as taught by Chareire, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshinb, 125 USPQ 416.

7) Claim 32 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koeth et al (DE 19807328) in view of Tanaka (6089359).

It is known in the art to use an encoder to measure rotation. Koeth et al lacks the teaching of an encoder for measuring relative rotation.

Tanaka teaches the use of an encoder for measuring relative rotation.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have provided the actuator of Koeth et al with the encoder as taught by Tanaka in order to measure the relative position of the internal parts.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4-6, 8-9, 12-28 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Art Unit: 3683

13) Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1-33 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 14) The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Drennen et al provides a nut screw mechanism.
- 15) Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

16) Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Devon C Kramer whose telephone number is 703-305-0839. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8-4.

Art Unit: 3683

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Lavinder can be reached on 703-308-3421. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

De 118/04

DK